APPROVED MINUTES JUDICIAL INFORMATION SYSTEM COMMITTEE July 29, 2005 Conference Call 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.

Members Present:

Justice Bobbe Bridge, Chair Judge C. Kenneth Grosse, Vice Chair Judge Glenna Hall Judge James R. Heller Mr. N.F. Jackson Judge Clifford L. Stilz Ms. Nancy Talner Ms. Yolande Williams Ms. Siri Woods Judge Thomas J. Wynne

AOC Staff Present:

Mr. Brian Backus Mr. Richard Duchaine Mr. Ramsey Radwan Ms. Kathie Smalley Ms. Judy Wells, Codesic

Guests Present:

Mr. Don Horowitz, ATJ Board Ms. Barb Miner, King County Clerk

Members Absent:

Mr. Greg Banks Ms. Pat Crandall Ms. Cathleen M. Grindle Mr. William Holmes Ms. Janet McLane Judge Michael Trickey, Ex-Officio Chief Denise Turner

CALL TO ORDER

Justice Bridge opened the meeting at 8:35 a.m. and introduced those who had identified themselves as being on the call.

DECISIONS

Fees for Public Data Warehouse

Richard noted our current plan is to roll out the data warehouse before we're set to go with new protocols for bulk data. Judge Wynne said we need to have a pricing model for what's going to be rolled out and then come back to the bulk data part of it.

Discussion ensued about the statute and what it mandates that we charge for. The AOC provided those ground rules to Codesic to be able to have an understanding of what it was costing us to develop and operate that thing and set some pricing levels that would allow us to recover those costs, plus a small debt... That's what Judge Grosse wanted to hear.

Judge Stilz noted the issue now is whether the Committee should tell the staff to go ahead and start developing this model, with the understanding that we will request them to study further the possibilities for sale of bulk data.

MOTION: Judge Stilz made a motion to authorize the design or construction of the pricing model recommended by Codesic, with the understanding there is a need to further study updating the bulk data. The motion was seconded and passed.

N.F. added as a point of interpretation that the motion was to authorize the design or construction of a pricing model. We are not approving any pricing model, but are putting in an infrastructure. We're not agreeing to charge this or that. Nancy noted that she was hoping that the process we're approving will be considering the other alternatives mentioned. Richard noted we will be moving forward building an infrastructure with the kinds of capabilities Judy described, but we should have the flexibility of setting pricing levels and whether or not we want to turn on or turn off any of these options. Justice Bridge added that we also need new information about the bulk distribution and the potential for subsidization. It will meet the requirements of the RCW, as long as the system continues to recover its cost. Judge Grosse was concerned that there's been so much effort on a pricing structure for something that we may never be able to sell if Siri and N.F. and Barb Miner and others ultimately will be offering more and a better product out their door, that no one will come to the state for anything. WE need to study that. Siri suggested limiting what can be looked up on a county web page to that county's data. Good suggestion - leave on the table for the long run.

ACTION ITEM: direct emails to Richard to keep it simple, about further questions to explore as we move ahead on this. Richard now has the go ahead to start building an infrastructure that will sustain this. And Richard will supply the information on the phase one and phase two plans on what the AOC is doing on the public data warehouse itself, and AOC will be looking at providing information on the exploration of subsidization.

GR 31 Contract Mandates

These are the GR 31 mandates the JIS Committee perform with respect to contracts that Brian presented at the last JISC meeting. There are three things, one being that GR 31 defines what a data dissemination contract is. The essential elements of the contract shall be promulgated by the JIS Committee. That comes into play when contracts are done for public purpose agencies and criminal justice agencies, and for bulk distribution. For bulk distribution, there are two requirements, one being a contract specifically for JIS records that's approved by the JISC, and the second is the disclaimer that must accompany all bulk distribution of court records.

These are changes to the data dissemination contract to incorporate requirements of GR 31. It changes the existing contract, and also adds the waiver as a specific requirement for bulk distribution, and says we need these essential elements which both

AOC and other people in the judicial branch use, and so records under contract would use in developing their own contracts.

Don Horowitz offered some friendly recommended changes to the Data Dissemination Contract provisions for JIS Data that he wanted to send to the committee, but were not deemed to change the intent of the document. Stilz asked Brian if we could hold off until the next meeting with the approval, but with the understanding that we're pretty close as long as there aren't any questions on Don's suggestions.

There was discussion about counties and cities not being included in the contract, but that they are not parties to this contract and never have been, making this a most point.

MOTION: With the proviso on the upcoming Don Horowitz changes, hopefully wordsmithing, Justice Bridge asked for a motion to approve this document, Judge Wynne moved and Judge Stilz seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Richard added that he wanted to thank the committee for their support on this controversial issue of the pricing model and the great discussion.

FUTURE MEETINGS

The next JIS Committee meeting will be on September 30, 2005, *10:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.*, at the AOC SeaTac Facility, SeaTac, WA.

The next JIS Data Dissemination Committee meeting will be on September 30, 2005, *9:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.*, at the AOC SeaTac Facility, SeaTac, WA.

The next JIS Advisory Committee meeting will be on August 15, 2005, 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., at the AOC SeaTac Facility, SeaTac, WA.